

## Comment Set C.194: Rick and Jennifer Fuller

9-30-06

RE: Antelope Valley Pardee 500k Transmission Project

Mr. John Boccio, EIR Project Manager,  
CPUC  
c/o Environmental Science Associates  
225 Bush Street, Suite 1700  
San Francisco, Ca. 94104

We are opposed to the Antelope Valley Pardee 500k Transmission Project for the following reasons:

- Increased risk of cancer and other health risks due to close proximity of high voltage lines. It is **NOT ACCEPTABLE** to sentence a community to increased risks of cancer or any other risk that may impair their health.
- Devalued property and loss of homes
- Noise from transmission lines
- Inefficiency and greater cost especially of Route 5. Including 56 additional towers
- Hampering recreation on the Pacific Crest Trail (A riding and hiking trail that goes from Mexico to Canada)

C.194-1

Edison has an existing route that they have proposed to use for the new transmission lines with minimal impact. That is more cost and energy effective than any alternative and since the alternative route 5 would lose 5% of energy due to the longer distance.

C.194-2

We appreciate your consideration of our comments.

Respectfully,



Rick and Jennifer Fuller  
40169 95<sup>th</sup> St. West  
Leona Valley, Ca 93551

## Response to Comment Set C.194: Rick and Jennifer Fuller

- C.194-1 These comments were answered for previous comments. Please see General Response GR-3 regarding EMF concerns and GR-1 regarding potential effects on property values. Your comments are consistent with the findings of the Draft EIR/EIS. The proposed Project and each of the alternative routes would result in impacts to a number of issue areas including noise (Section C.10), land use and recreation (Section C.9), which are discussed in the Draft EIR/EIS. Also, we agree that due to the increased length of Alternative 5, it would cost substantially more than the proposed Project.
- C.194-2 Thank you for your opinion regarding the proposed Project and alternatives.